

Who cares about prosody?: Predicting individual differences in sensitivity to pitch accent in online reference resolution

Scott H. Fraundorf and Duane G. Watson
University of Illinois



RESEARCH QUESTION

What underlies **constraint use** in **reference resolution**?

> e.g. pitch accenting

- **Domain-specific** sensitivity?
- **Domain-general** biology plus linguistic **experience**?¹

EYE-TRACKING TASK³


- Critical trials involve cohort competitors

- 1st instruction establishes one referent as given

“Move the (rope/road) below the star.”

- 2nd instruction:
 - > Refers to **given** or **new** referent
 - > Is **pitch-accented** or **deaccented**

“Now, move the (rope/ROPE) above the square.”



GROWTH CURVE ANALYSIS⁴

Get individual differences in:

- Overall rate of fixations
- Benefit from **consistent prosody**:

Accented New

Deaccented Given

INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCE MEASURES

5 measures:

LEXICAL SENSITIVITY²

Sensitivity to verb bias in **self-paced reading**


Difference in effect of disambiguating complementizer for:

Direct object-biased verbs: (disambiguation should be *more* helpful)
 “The primary suspect established (that) the alibi had been a total lie.”
 Sentential complement-biased verbs: (disambiguation should be *less* helpful)
 “The film director suggested (that) the scene should be removed completely.”

Has predicted use of referential context constraints²

PERCEPTUAL SPEED⁵

2 tasks: **Letter & pattern** comparison



Judge if **same** or **different**

Measure: # of correct trials in 2 min.

INHIBITORY CONTROL⁶

Color-word Stroop task
Computer paced

black

Name ink color, ignore word meaning
Measure: # of correct trials out of 30

WORKING MEMORY^{7,8}

4 span tasks

- Reading span
- Listening span
- Alphabet span
 - > Recall words in alpha. order
- Minus 2 span
 - > Recall digits while subtracting 2

VOCABULARY


Extended Range Vocabulary Test⁹
Choose which of 4 words is most similar in meaning to probe

- TEMERITY**
- (A) rashness
 - (B) timidity
 - (C) desire
 - (D) kindness

Measure: # of correct trials out of 48

RESULTS

What predicts individual differences in reference resolution?



- Overall rate of fixations predicted by vocabulary
- Use of prosody predicted by perceptual speed
- Consistent with role of basic biological factors & experience¹
- No evidence for **specific sensitivity** to constraints

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS & REFERENCES

On back.

Contact: sfraund2@illinois.edu.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS & REFERENCES

This research was supported by U.S. National Institute of Health grant R01DC008774 to Duane Watson. Scott Fraundorf was supported by National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship 2007053221.

- ¹ MacDonald, M. C., & Christiansen, M. H. (2002). Reassessing working memory: Commentary on Just and Carpenter (1992) and Waters and Caplan (1996), *Psychological Review*, *109*, 35-54.
- ² Novick, J. M., Thompson-Schill, S. L., & Trueswell, J. C. (2008). Putting lexical constraints in context into the visual-world paradigm. *Cognition*, *107*, 850-903.
- ³ Dahan, D., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Chambers, C. G. (2002). Accent and reference resolution in spoken-language comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *47*, 292-314.
- ⁴ Mirman, D., Dixon, J. A., & Magnuson, J. S. (2008). Statistical and computational models of the visual world paradigm: Growth curve analysis. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *59*, 475-494
- ⁵ Salthouse, T. A., & Babcock, R. L. (1991). Decomposing adult age differences in working memory. *Developmental Psychology*, *27*, 763-776.
- ⁶ Brown-Schmidt, S. (2009). The role of executive function in perspective taking during online language comprehension. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*, 893-900.
- ⁷ Waters, G. S., & Caplan, D. (2003). The reliability and stability of verbal working memory measures. *Behavior Research Methods*, *35*, 550-564.
- ⁸ Stine, E. A. L., & Hindman, J. (1994). Age differences in reading time allocation for propositionally dense sentences. *Aging and Cognition*, *1*, 2-16.
- ⁹ Ekstrom, R., French, J. W., Harman H. H., & Derman, D. (1973). Kit of factor-referenced cognitive tests [manual]. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.

Contact: sfraund2@illinois.edu